

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------|------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/955,438 | (| 09/19/2001 | Mikio Ihama | 0042-0455P-SP 9440 | | |
| 2292 | 7590 | 12/30/2003 | | EXAMINER | | |
| BIRCH ST | | KOLASCH & BIR | WALKE, A | WALKE, AMANDA C | | |
| - · · | | A 22040-0747 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 1752 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 09/955,438 | IHAMA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Su | ımmary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Amanda C Walke | 1752 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extended. | S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period we ded period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing | 'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed | nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | y. ommunication. | | | |
| 1) Responsive to commun | ication(s) filed on 19 Se | eptember 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This a | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 13, 15-17, 19, 20, 22, 23, and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2, 5, 8, 10-12, 14, 18, 18, 21, and 24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | , | , | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request Replacement drawing she | 19 September 2001 is/a that any objection to the o et(s) including the correcti | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of 2. Certified copies of 3. Copies of the cer application from the same as the attached detailed since a specific reference 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the same as the attached between the attached detailed since a specific reference 37 CFR 1.78. a) Acknowledgment is made at the same as the | None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents tified copies of the prior che International Bureau d Office action for a list e of a claim for domestic was included in the firs ne foreign language pro e of a claim for domestic | s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive | on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since | I application) Data Sheet. a specific | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra Information Disclosure Statement(s | awing Review (PTO-948) s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>i</u> 2 | 5) Notice of Informal F | (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTO | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/955,438

Art Unit: 1752

No. of the last

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 13, 15-17, 19, 20, 22, 23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brust et al (6,100,019).

Brust et al disclose a silver halide photographic material comprising high bromide {111} tabular grains having a high chloride epitaxy. The grains are preferably silver iodochlorobromide and contain silver iodide in an amount of less than 10 mole %, and silver chloride in an amount of less than 10 % as well (column 3, line 53 to column 4, line 35). The deposits preferably constitute 0.1 to 25 mol %, most preferably 3-5 mol % of the total silver of the grain. The epitxial deposits may constitute only 0.1 % of the total silver, thus the chloride may be added in an amount as low as 0.1 mol %. The epitaxial deposits contain at least 90 mol %, AgCl and may contain silver bromide and/ or silver iodide as well (thus any silver bromide or silver iodide would be present in an amount of no greater than 10 mol %). The examples of the reference prepare high bromide grains comprising silver iodochloride epitaxial deposits. Since all of the epitaxies contain at least 90 mol % chloride, 90 % would be the average content (CL). Given that, all of the grains would fall within the CL ranges required by the present claims since no grains may have a AgCl content of less than 90 % (or greater than 100% for that matter), it appears that the AgCl content of the epitaxial protrusions will fall within the scope of the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/955,438

Art Unit: 1752

claimed CL range. Additionally, given that the amounts of silver iodide in the epitaxies of the examples contain between 0.75 and 1.2 mol% silver iodide, it appears that the grains also fall within the claimed I range. The grains account for at least 90 %, most preferably greater than 97 % of the total grain projected area, have a thickness of less than 0.2 microns, preferably less than 0.07 microns, an ECD of less than 6 microns, and an aspect ratio of at least 5 (column 5, lines 30-57). The grains may be hexagonal (column 7, lines 34-50). The examples demonstrate emulsions wherein 84, 72, and 75 % of the grains having the desired ECD, thus the COV of the grain ECD in the exemplified emulsions meets the instant claim limitations by being less than 30% in each instance (and in one instant less than 20% as required by the instant claim 16). The grains contain high chloride epitaxies in the corners of the grains, thus most preferably 97 % of the total grain population contain epitaxial deposits. The examples prepare grains having 6 epitaxial deposits, one in each corner of the grain, which implies that the grains formed by the examples are hexagonal grains. The exemplified grains also contain one or more dislocation lines at the epitaxial junctions, demonstrating that the grains may have dislocation lines at the apexes of the grains. The material comprising the emulsion is coated on a support (column 10, lines 15-18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,438

Art Unit: 1752

3. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brust et al in view of Antoniades et al (5,250,403).

Brust et al has been discussed above as teaching grains having an ECD of preferably less than 6, and that lower ECDs result in low levels of granularity.

Antoniades et al disclose a photographic material comprising {111} silver iodobromide hexagonal grains having an average ECD of at least 0.7 microns, preferably 1 to 4 microns, and an average thickness of less than 0.07 microns.

Given that the grains of Antoniades et al are similar to thos eof Brust et al and are about the same size, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the grains of Brust et al choosing to prepare the grains having an ECD of 0.07 microns as taught by Antoniades et al to lower the granularity of the grains with reasonable expectation of achieving a material having high sensitivity.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 5, 8, 10-12, 14, 18, 18, 21, and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare grains as described by the instant claim 1 having mesh-like dislocation lines or an edge length of 2 or less.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/955,438

Art Unit: 1752

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 5. disclosure.

Ihama et al (6,607,874) is cited for its teachings of similar grains, however the reference is unavailable as prior art.

Takada et al (6,225,041), Sugimoto et al (6,432,626), and Kimura et al (5,874,206) are cited for their teachings of similar {111} grains.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1321. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Examiner Art Unit 1752

December 15, 2003

ACW